### Implosion and Heating Experiments of Fast Ignition Targets by GEKKO-XII and LFEX Lasers

Hiroyuki Shiraga\*, and FIREX-1 Experiment Group

\*Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University



13<sup>th</sup> Japan-US Workshop on Heavy Ion Fusion and High Energy Density Physics

Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University October 12-14, 2011

### Abstract



Implosion and heating experiments of Fast Ignition (FI) targets for FIREX-1 project have been performed with Gekko-XII laser for implosion and LFEX laser for heating at the Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University. After the first integrated experiments of Fast Ignition with LFEX laser in 2009, in which we concluded that the existence of the prepulse in the heating laser may have affected the heating efficiency by modifying the hot electron spectrum to unexpected higher energy range, we tried to significantly reduce the prepulse level in the LFEX laser system. Also we have much improved the plasma diagnostics to be able to observe the plasma even in the hard x-ray harsh environment. A variety of improved plasma diagnostics was used to observe implosion and heating dynamics, fusion yield, x-rays and gamma rays, electrons, and ions. Particularly, Neutron yield and its spectrum were measured with many types of the neutron detectors including multi-channel single-hit detectors, fast-decay liquid scintillators, bubble detectors, and activation detectors. Ultrafast x-ray imaging with x-ray streak cameras and x-ray framing cameras were used to observe imploded core plasma and its heating dynamics. Relative time of heating beam injection to the core plasma life was experimentally determined accurately by using non-imaged signals of hard x-rays generated from hot electrons due to the heating beam irradiation. A plastic (CD) shell target, 7-microns thick and 500 microns in diameter, with a hollow gold cone for guiding the heating short-pulse laser at the time of the maximum compression was used in this experiment. The shell target was imploded with 9 or 12 beams of Gekko-XII laser (527 nm) with energy of 300 J/beam in a 1.5 ns pulse. Two beams among four of LFEX laser (1053 nm) were injected to the interior tip (bottom) of the cone with energy up to 0.7 kJ/beam in a 1.5 ps pulse at the time around the maximum implosion. We have observed neutron enhancement up to 3.5x10<sup>7</sup> with total heating energy of 301 J on target, which is higher than the yield obtained in 2009 experiment and the previous data in 2002. [R. Kodama, et al. Nature 418, 933 (2002)]. We found the estimated heating efficiency is at a level of 10-20 %. It may still be due to the existence of the preformed plasma, which was observed again in a separate planar target experiment. Further investigations of mechanism how the preformed plasma is generated and methods how we can control it and increase the efficiency are needed, and are underway. 5-keV heating is expected at the full output of LFEX laser by controlling the heating efficiency.



#### 2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

### 3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency



2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

## 1. FIREX, Fast Ignition Realization Exp't





- preliminary: Demo of 600 times liquid density Demo of 1 keV temp. by 1kJ/1ps.
- FIREX-I : Demo of 5-10 keV temperature by 10kJ/10ps.
- FIREX-II: Demo of ignition and burn by FI



H. Shiraga, S. Fujioka, M. Nakai, T. Watari, H. Nakamura, Y. Arikawa, H. Hosoda, T. Nagai M. Koga, H. Kikuchi, Y. Ishii, T. Sogo, K. Shigemori, H. Nishimura, Z. Zhang, M. Tanabe, S. Ohira, Y. Fujii, T. Namimoto, Y. Sakawa, O. Maegawa, K. Shimada, H. Nagatomo, M. Murakami, T. Norimatsu, H. Homma, Y. Fujimoto, N. Miyanaga, J. Kawanaka, T. Jitsuno, Y. Nakata, K. Tsubakimoto, N. Morio, T. Kawasaki, K. Sawai, K. Tsuji, H. Murakami, N. Sarukura, T. Shimizu, K. Mima, and H. Azechi

H. Sakagami, T. Ozaki, A. Iwamoto, M. Hata, T. Yanagawa

K. Tanaka, H Habara, T. Iwawaki, K. Shimada A. Sunahara, T. Johzaki

- T. Taguchi
- T. Kanabe
- K. Kondo
- M. Key
- P. Norreys
- J. Pasley

(NIFS) (Grad School, Osaka University) (Institute for Laser Technology) (Setsunan University) (Fukui University) (JAEA-KPSI) (LLNL) (Rutherford Appleton Lab) (University of York)

(ILE, Osaka University)



#### 2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

## 2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning





- Nov, 2008 Precision alignment of pulse compressor
- Dec, 2008 Target irradiation with high-power beam started
- Feb, 2009 Irradiation of Fast Ignition (FI) target started
- June, 2009 Fl integrated experiment started (5 ps)
- Sept, 2009 Fl integrated experiment (1 ps) / 1 beam
- Aug, 2010Fl integrated experiment (1 ps) / 2 beams

## For FI integrated experiment in 2010

#### The 2nd beam has been activated.

- 1 kJ in 1 beam (2009)
  - → 2 kJ in 2 beams (2010)
- Beam profile improved

## Contrast in LFEX pulse was substantially improved by introducing

- saturable absorber, and
- AOPF (amplified optical parametric fluorescence) quencher for a few ns range, and
- reduced spectral ripples for ps range.





## Pulse compression and focusing system of LFEX





### Pulse width of two beams of LFEX



#### SHG auto-correlation



H2 H4 H2+H4 Pulsewidth = 1228fs Pulsewidth = 1262fs Pulsewidth = 1478fs



# Two LFEX laser beams were overlapped and focused within 60 $\mu$ m





Off-axis parabola mirror installed into chamber

Square shaped beams were focused with an off-axis parabola mirror (f = 4000 mm).

#### X-ray images

#### 2009 experiment 1 beam



2010 experiment 2 beams





- 2 beams operational among 4 in 2010
- Pulse-compressed and focused on the target
- Output energy level limited by damage handling of optical components, particularly the gratings



#### 2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

### 3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

#### Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone

Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

## 3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Cone-attached surrogate fuel capsules were compressed by GEKKO-XII and heated by LFEX lasers



#### Compression Laser: GEKKO-XII



Beam#	9/12 beams
Energy	280 J/beam
	(2.5 kJ total)
Duration	1.5 ns
	(Flat top)
Waveleng	th 527 nm

Experiment

Φ1:Aug. 16 – Dec. 24, 2010 (GXII + LFEX) Φ2:Jan. 5 – Jan. 25, 2011 (LFEX only)



**Fusion Fuel Target** 







 Beam#
 2 beam

 Energy
 400 ~ 2000 J

Duration 1.5 - 2 ps Wavelength 1053 nm



#### Prepulse

- → preformed plasma
- → long-scalelength
- → too-hot electrons
- → less efficient heating of the fuel plasma

Prepulse must be suppressed.

### Background noise

- intensity  $> 10^{19}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>
- energy > 1000 J
- → large amount of hot electron generation
- $\rightarrow$  intense hard x rays ( $\gamma$  rays) and EMP

→ too large background noise and other nuclear reactions *Diagnostics must be compatible to such harsh environment.* 



- **1. Improve pulse contrast to reduce preformed plasma**
- 2. Develop robust diagnostics compatible to hard x-ray/EMP harsh environment, and confirm genuine signals
- 3. Integrated experiment to confirm 2002 experiment
- 4. Confirm fundamental processes, and verify scalings for fast heating
- 5. Examine advanced target concepts
- 6. Demonstrate 5 keV heating



2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect

Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

## Pre-formed plasma with $L > 50 \ \mu m$ observed in 2009 exp't, enough to cause higher hot electron temperature



We have to reduce the pre-formed plasma to increase the coupling efficiency of heating.

ILE OSAKA

## Electron acceleration in a preformed plasma may enhance too-hot electron generation



## Hot electron temperature was reduced with **AOPF-reduced** operation



### We installed SA (saturable absorber) and AOPF (amplified

### optical parametric fluorescence) quencher in OPCPA system



Energy [MeV]



2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

# Plasma diagnostics compatible to hard x-ray and EMP harsh environment were required



#### **Diagnostics troubles in 2009 experiment with large energy LFEX shot**

- Freezed PC's, violent noises in oscilloscopes
- •Too big scintillation decay signal overwhelming the DD neutron signal
- Intense background noise and cathode discharge in x-ray imaging devices





Discharge at cathode with intense hard x-ray

Multi Imaging Xray streak camera





EMP noise effects on photodiodes, PC's, and oscilloscopes were significantly reduced.

A Aux / 2.5

# Reduction of hard x-rays in x-ray imaging diagnostics



## X-ray framing camera with total reflection mirrors to eliminate hard x-rays



#### Hard x-ray shielded cathde for x-ray streak tube



#### These schemes worked well and contributed to efficient experiment.

## Hard x-rays are eliminated with Pt total reflection mirors, and only thermal x-ray images are recorded





#### Shielding worked, and LFEX injection time was accurately monitored using nonimaged hard x-ray signal in x-ray streak cameras



within an accuracy better than +/-10 ps.

time

300

00

Time [ps]

cathode slit

shield window

200

ILE OSAKA

### Various neutron diagnostics were developed



#### **Time-resolving detectors**

- 1. MANDALA:  $4\pi$  shielding
- 2. TOF scintillator: shielding hardened
- 3. Fast fiber scintillator: shielding hardened
- 4. BC422: position changed
- 5. Gated TOF scintillator: New
- 6. Gated Liq. scintillator # 1: New
- 7. Gated Liq. scintillator #2: New
- 8. Gated <sup>6</sup>Li scintillator #2: New
- 9. Multi-ch. <sup>6</sup>Li counting mode: New

#### $\gamma\text{-ray}$ insensitive detectors

- 10. Bubble detector: Revival
- 11. CR39 auto-reading: New
- 12. Radiochromic film: New
- 13. Ag counter: Revival



#### MANDALA



#### <sup>6</sup>Li scintillator



#### Ag activation counter



#### n-moderator (polyethlene)

#### 0-saturated quenching Liq. scintillator



PMT with gated-dinode

#### **Bubble detector**



 $^{109}Ag + n \rightarrow ^{110}Ag + \gamma$  $\Box >^{110} Ag \rightarrow {}^{110} Cd + \beta^{-110} Cd +$ 

### New liquid scintillator was developed





Quenching by oxigen

T. Nagai et al., to be published

- Slow decay component was significantly reduced.
- Coupled with gated PMT, and used in FI integrated experiment.

## (γ,n) reactions take place in γ-ray rich environment in high-intensity experiments



We observed:

- Neutron signals in *gamma-insensitive* detectors (bubble, Ag activation)
- Broadband neutron signals observed in shots without implosion
- Correlation with gamma-ray signals



#### $\rightarrow$ There are neutron signals coming from ( $\gamma$ , n) reactions.



<sup>M</sup>A + 
$$\gamma(h\nu) \rightarrow {}^{M-1}A$$
 + n( $h\nu$ -B.E.)

Neutrons in the MeV range can be created due to  $(\gamma,n)$  reactions (*photo disintegration reactions*) in materials in and around the target.

# Intense $(\gamma, \gamma')$ and $(\gamma, n)$ signals were found to be the main components of the background signal

 $(\gamma, n)$ : photodisintegration reaction,  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ : scattering

 $(\gamma,n)$  and  $(\gamma,\gamma')$  in materials elsewhere in and around the target chamber and at the concrete walls

## $(\gamma,n)$ and $(\gamma,\gamma')$ signal components calculated with Monte-Carlo code\* assuming materials configuration



Now we know nature of the background signals, and can accurately identify the DD neutron signal even with the heavy backgrounds.

# ( $\gamma$ , n) and ( $\gamma$ , $\gamma$ ') signals from surroundings can be eliminated by using appropriate collimators





#### gamma-n observation shot

#### Collimators will be fully installed in 2011 exp't.



2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

## Neutron yield was 30-times enhanced with LFEX injection



Shot#	DD-n ± γ-n err	DD-Yn	LFEX injection timing (ps)	LFEX energy@IMAP(J)
34177	(1.25±0.5)×10 <sup>6</sup> ±2×10 <sup>6</sup>	(1.25±2.1)×10 <sup>6</sup>	+63 +/- 8	397.91
34183	$(3.5\pm1.2)\times10^{7}\pm2\times10^{6}$	(3.5±1.2)×10 <sup>7</sup>	+27 +/- 8	430.5
34186	(2.8±1.0)×10 <sup>6</sup> ±2×10 <sup>6</sup>	(2.8±2.2)×10 <sup>6</sup>	- 7 +/- 8	694.1
34187	$(1.6\pm0.6)\times10^{7}\pm2\times10^{6}$	(1.6±0.6)×10 <sup>7</sup>	-14 +/- 8	598.3
34189	$(1.6\pm0.5)\times10^{6}\pm2\times10^{6}$	(1.6±2.1)×10 <sup>6</sup>	-33 +/- 8	318.8
34193 w/o LFEX	(1.44±0.5)×10 <sup>6</sup>	(1.44±0.5)×10 <sup>6</sup>		



## Dependence of neutron yield on coupling efficiency and heating energy was calculated with 2D code

2D Burn simulation code "FIBMET" base : I fluid 2 temperature Euler-type Hydro code + radiation transport (multi-group flux-limited diffusion), + α-particle transport (multi-group flux-limited diffusion) + fusion reactions (DT,DD,D<sup>3</sup>He)

Assumed Bulk Plasma: CD Ti & Te: uniform、ρ:Gaussian profile









ILE OSAKA

## 2010 results reconfirmed 2002 exp't, heating efficiency of 10-20% achieved



Not yet optimized: input energy and heating efficiency to be increased



#### Interaction and fundamental process:

- Reduced hot electron temperature by reducing AOPF in CPA system
- Pre-formed plasma still observed
- Many fundamental processes not yet experimentally clarified
  - → Further investigations required

#### Diagnostics: background problem has been cleared up.

- $(\gamma,n)$  and  $(\gamma, \gamma')$  signals evaluated, and precise neutron yield measurement
- Heating beam injection time with 10 ps accuracy by x-ray streaked imaging

#### Integrated experiment: neutron enhancement in 2002 was reconfirmed.

- Neutron enhancement up to 3.5E7 achieved Higher than 2002 and 2009 experiments
- Heating efficiency up to 10-20 % and temperature increase by 300 eV achieved
  - → Further improved efficiency needed



2. LFEX laser – construction and tuning

Laser output (2 kJ / 2 beams / 1 ps) delivered to experiment Improved pulse contrast Pulse compression and Focusing

3. Integrated experiment of Fast Ignition

Implosion and heating of shell target with Au cone Preformed plasma effect Plasma diagnostics in hard x-ray harsh environment Enhanced neutron yield and heating efficiency

## 4. Conclusions



LFEX laser has been activated and used with Gekko laser.

- 2 kJ / 2 beams /1.5 ps operation was performed.
- AOPF was significantly reduced.
- Will be upgraded to 10 kJ / 4 beams.

## FI integrated experiments has been successfully performed, and Neutron enhancement in 2002 experiments were reconfirmed.

- Accurate plasma diagnostics compatible to hard x-ray harsh environment were developed.
- $(\gamma, \gamma')$  and  $(\gamma, n)$  reactions were identified in the neutron measurement.
- Neutron yield up to 3.5E7 and heating efficiency of 10-20% have been achieved.
- Heating efficiency will be improved with advanced targets.

#### We are "Go" for FIREX-1 experiment in 2011-2012:

- Increase LFEX energy in 4-beam operation with even higher pulse contrast
- Further improve diagnostic instruments : shielding and collimation →more significant heating, more accurate signals in heating diagnostics
- Verify heating mechanism and FI scenario
- Demonstrate improved heating efficiency and temperature scaling



Year Laser construction Milestones

2008	Compressor activation		
	1-beam operation	Gamma-ray test	
2009		FI integrated experiment	
		Advanced target	
2010	2-beam operation	FI integrated experiment	
2011	4-beam activation	<i>CD heating</i> (5 keV) ← Goal of FIREX-1	
- 2012		Advanced target	
2013		D2 heating (cryo)	
201x		DT heating (cryo)	

We thank: Gekko-XII and LFEX laser operation crew, target fabrication group, plasma diagnostics group, and computer operation stuffs at ILE for their great contributions to this work.

## Thank you for your attention!